

Regional ATP Cycle 6 Scoring Process (2023)

Project Reviews by Scoring Committee

Initial Scoring - Up to 95 points

Scored on how the project would increase biking and walking, safety and other metrics (See Attachment B under "Evaluating Project Performance")

Scored by Regional ATP team (SACOG, PCTPA and EDCTC)

- Projects are scored up to 5 points identifying the connection to the Regional Trail Network
- Projects are scored 0 or -3 points based on past grant performance

Does a minimum of 40% of the funding provide meaningful benefit for a disadvantaged community?

Yes

No

Top projects will be selected without any additional steps

Add up to 10 points evaluating if project provides meaningful benefit for a disadvantaged community

Disadvantaged
Communities
Evaluation



Regional ATP Cycle 7 Scoring Process (2025)

Project Reviews by Scoring Committee

Initial Scoring - Up to 95 points

Scored on how the project would increase biking and walking, safety and other metrics (See Attachment B under "Evaluating Project Performance")

Scored by Regional ATP team (SACOG, PCTPA and EDCTC)

- Projects are scored up to 3 points identifying the connection to the Regional Trail Network
- Projects are scored 0 or -3 points based on past grant performance

Does a minimum of 40% of the funding provide meaningful benefit for a disadvantaged community?

Yes

No

Top projects will be selected without any additional steps

Add up to 10 points evaluating if project provides meaningful benefit for a disadvantaged community

Disadvantaged Communities Evaluation

